RECONSTRUCTION OF THE LONGITUDINAL PHASE SPACE FOR THE SUPERCONDUCTING CW HELIAC
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Abstract

The superconducting (SC) heavy ion HELMholtz Lin-Accelerator (HELIAC) is under development at GSI in Darmstadt in cooperation with Helmholtz Institute Mainz (HIM) and Goethe-University Frankfurt (GUF). A novel design is used for the accelerating cavities, namely SC continuous wave (CW) multigap Crossbar H-Mode cavities. For this a dedicated beam dynamics layout - the EQUIdistant mUltigap Structure (EQUUS) - has been carried out a couple of years ago and is under further development. In December 2018 the GSI High Charge State Injector (HLI) delivered heavy ion beam to the already commissioned first of series superconducting RF cavity. Proper 6D-matching to the CH cavity demands sufficient beam characterisation. Slit-grid emittance measurements provided for the transverse phase space determination. By measuring the longitudinal projection of the bunch with a Feschenko Monitor (Beam Shape Monitor), the bunch profile was obtained. With a dedicated algorithm, the full longitudinal phase space at the HLI-exit could be reconstructed from a set of BSM measurements. The basic reconstruction method, all relevant BSM measurements and the resulting phase space reconstruction will be presented.

INTRODUCTION

For the Super Heavy Elements (SHE) research collision experiments with medium to heavy ions on heavy targets are used to cause fusion-evaporation reactions. Extremely small cross-sections make a long beam time crucial for these type of experiments [1, 2]. Whilst the GSI Universal Linear Accelerator (UNILAC) [3–7] is upgraded as an exclusive injector for the Facility for Antiproton and Ion Research (FAIR) [6, 7], a new superconducting continuous wave (CW) heavy ion linear accelerator (Linac) is built at GSI to keep the SHE research competitive. This project is carried out by the GSI and the HIM [8, 9] under key support of the GUF [10, 11] and in collaboration with the Moscow Engineering Physics Institute (MEPhI) and the Moscow Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics (KI-ITEP) [12, 13]. For different modern facilities worldwide, the operation of CW Linacs is crucial, as for the Spallation Neutron Source (SNS) in the U.S. [14], or medium energy applications in isotope generation, material science and boron-neutron capture therapy [15]. Therefore, the progress in SRF in particular for superconducting multi gap cavities [16] and its operation is a decisive contribution to global accelerator development.

Helmholtz Linear Accelerator

A new warm injector will provide for a 1.4 MeV/u CW heavy ion beam to the main superconducting HELIAC [17]. It comprises a radio frequency quadrupole (RFQ) and an interdigital H-Mode cavity (IH) together with two rebuncher cavities. Four cryomodules with compact SC CH cavities, SC solenoids and SC rebunchers [11] form the SC HELIAC section. Key features of the accelerator are a variable output energy (see Table 1) and the capability to provide for CW operation, while keeping the momentum spread low.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: HELIAC Design Specifications [17]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Value</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass/charge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum beam current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable output energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output energy spread</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The HELIAC stays in line with diverse ambitious Linac projects at GSI, namely the FAIR proton Linac [18], the UNILAC proton beam delivery [19–21], the linear heavy ion decelerator HITRAP (Heavy Ion TRAP) [22] and the LIGHT (Laser Ion Generation, Handling and Transport) facility for laser acceleration of protons and heavy ions [23].

Demonstrator Environment

The novel CH design was tested and validated by two measurement campaigns in 2017 and 2018, where CH0 as a first of series was tested extensively [17, 24, 25]. Beam from HLI has been delivered to CH0 (installed in a test cryomodule) [26]. The IH-DTL as main part of the HLI is designed with the KOmbinierte Null Grad Struktur (KONUS) beam dynamics concept [27, 28], which introduces a non-linear transformation to the bunch shape in the longitudinal phase plane. This arises from using different RF phases in...
of Bi, which is useful to determine the input distribution $\phi_i$ with given measurements $\beta_i$. Therefore, all mappings of the input $\phi_i$ have to be adjusted to this scenarios. The Algebraic Reconstruction Technique (ART) [32] and the Maximum Entropy Tomography Reconstruction (MENT) [33] algorithms have to be used as input for the reconstruction algorithm. The device provides for measurements of the longitudinal bunch shape of heavy ions and offers a high signal to noise ratio and a phase resolution of up to 1 degree with respect to 108.408 MHz. A detailed description of the Feschenko Monitor is given in [30].

Reconstruction

For the reconstruction, the relation from the input coordinates at the beginning of the beam line (i.e., exit of the HELIAC) to the coordinates at the Feschenko Monitor must be known. The beamline could be described by using the particle tracking code DYNAMION [36], which allows to monitor individual particles along their trajectory. Disposing a grid as input distribution and tracking it through the beamline, the mapping from input coordinates $\phi_i$ to output coordinates $\beta_i$ can be described for each buncher setting $i$, as well as the projection to the longitudinal spatial axis $A_i(\phi, \beta_i)$ for a set of input coordinates $\beta_i$. For a given particle output phase $\phi$, a set of input coordinates $\beta_i$ exists: $\beta_i(\phi)$ is ambiguous. In order to determine the boundaries, which are used for NNLS reconstruction, the back tracking function $\beta_i(\phi)$ can be used to select the area of interest for reconstruction. All phases $\phi$, where the signal is below a certain threshold, are selected and tracked back for each histogram. Two areas can be distinguished: areas with signal and areas without signal. By summing up these areas of every histogram, an image can be produced, describing the amount of histograms holding signal/no signal: $N_i(\beta_i) = \{ |\phi_i(\beta_i) \in A(\phi_i(\beta_i)) \neq 0 \}$.

Furthermore, the mapping $A_i(\phi, \beta_i)$ can be expressed in terms of a matrix multiplication $\beta_i = B_i \cdot \phi$ for discrete values $\beta_i(\phi, p)$, which are assembled into $\beta_i$. With this discretization, also nonlinear mappings can be expressed in terms of $B_i$, which is useful to determine the input distribution $\phi$ with given measurements $\beta_i$. Therefore, all mappings


Each acceleration gap instead of applying $\pm 30\text{degree}$ continuously. For longitudinal and transversal matching of the beam to the demonstrator cryomodule, two rebunchers, two quadrupole duplets and a quadrupole triplet are mounted, as well as three beam steerers for alignment (see Fig. 1). Phase probe sensors were used to determine the beam energy by Time Of Flight (ToF) measurements. The beam profile and position could be measured with Secondary Electron Emission (SEM) grids. For longitudinal bunch shape measurements [29] a Feschenko-Monitor [30] was used.

Principle of Tomographic Reconstruction

The distribution $f(x, y)$ (see Fig. 2) has to be recalculated from a set of projections $f_i(x')$. The tomographic reconstruction method also appears in medical diagnostics, where it is used for body imaging. A wide range of reconstruction algorithms already exists for clinical purpose, but they are commonly formulated using linear mappings between $f(x, y)$ and $f_i(x')$ (see Fig. 2), or explicitly base on rotation transformations. For accelerator applications, it is generally not possible to rotate the beam. Optical elements in the beam line are used to alter the bunch shape, which in most cases is characterized by shearing. When the bunch transformation can be expressed in this way, it is possible to preprocess the data into a sinogram to be used as input for common reconstruction algorithms. In some cases, the bunch transfer is not linear, therefore it is not useful to use sinograms. Suitable algorithms have to be adjusted to this scenarios. The Algebraic Reconstruction Technique (ART) [32] and the Maximum Entropy Tomography Reconstruction (MENT) [33] have already been used for longitudinal phase space reconstruction [33,34]. A different approach has been investigated for the HELIAC. Instead of using ART or MENT, a Non Negative Least Squares (NNLS) [35] is used to solve the reconstruction problem the longitudinal phase plane.

METHODS

To infer the unknown bunch shape in the longitudinal phase plane, different projections must be obtained. The two rebunchers were used to provide diverse bunch shapes at the Bunch Shape Monitor (BSM) therefore.

Bunch Shape Monitor

The Feschenko Monitor mounted behind the Demonstrator was used for the series of measurements, which were used as input for the reconstruction algorithm. The device provides for measurements of the longitudinal bunch shape of heavy ions and offers a high signal to noise ratio and a phase resolution of up to 1 degree with respect to 108.408 MHz. A detailed description of the Feschenko Monitor is given in [30].

FIGURE 2: Example of reconstruction setup for a linear transformation between image and histogram. The observed histograms $f_i(x')$ constrain the shape of the reconstructed object [31].

FIGURE 3: Feschenko Monitor and Beamline.
and all measurements can be stacked up into one equation \( \tilde{A} = B \cdot \tilde{X} \). Also, a negative particle count for the input distribution is not considered. Therefore the task can be expressed as Non Negative Least Squares problem:

\[
\arg \min_{X} ||B \tilde{X} - \tilde{A}||_2 \text{ subject to } \tilde{X} \geq 0
\]  

(1)

The mapping \( B \) of the artificial input distribution \( \tilde{X} \) to all measured histograms \( \tilde{A} \) should show minimal difference.

**RESULTS**

*Measurements*

All elements in the matching line, excluding the rebunchers, were set to a state of minimal beam loss for a wide range of rebuncher focusing strengths. 100 BSM-measurements have been conducted with \( \text{Ar}^{9+} \) beam from the HLI. Combinations of different rebuncher voltages \((R_1,R_2)\) were applied, providing for a detailed transition from defocusing to overfocusing. Exemplary measurements are presented in Fig. 3. As one can see from the histograms, the beam shape is non-symmetrical due to the KONUS beam dynamics of the HLI-IH-DTL. This makes the use of an advanced reconstruction technique necessary, which considers a non elliptic shape.

Conservative preprocessing was applied to the measurement data. 6% of the amplitudes were cut to remove unwanted background. The histograms were recentered to their center of mass. In good accordance to the measurements the transmission was assumed to be 100%.

The measurements of the BSM are presented, so that the head of the bunch is displayed on the right side, the tail on the left (Phase \( \propto +z \)). In the following, the phase planes are displayed to the same convention.

*Reconstruction*

As described in the previous section, the back projection of the histograms can be used to determine the area of the bunch in the longitudinal phase space. These limits can be used as constraints of the NNLS solver. This two step procedure enhances the analysis performance and increases the reliability by using two methods. Resulting from the back projection properties, a signal of 0% can not be achieved by overlapping projections from different "directions". For the yellow area (100% in Fig. 4) the RMS-emittance could be evaluated for \( \epsilon_{\text{RMS}} = 18 \text{ keV/u deg} \). This emittance is in good agreement with the former simulations of the HLI, which yielded an RMS emittance of 13.5 keV/u deg [37]. The reconstructed emittance is slightly increased, as the shape reconstruction does not distinguish between low and high signal strengths. By using the limits shown in Fig. 4, the NNLS solver was applied to reconstruct the exact bunch shape and density distribution with the derived boundary values. The NNLS solver reveals a more complicated shape (see Fig. 5).

**Facilities - New Proposals**

hadron linacs
ter of the distribution, a remarkably small spot with high intensity can be recognized. The analysis of the reconstructed emittance is presented in Fig. 6. Selected ellipses surrounding a fraction of the particles are displayed. The 100% emittance of the bunch is dominated by marginal particles (5% of the particle distribution), while most of the particles are concentrated in the center. The reconstructed emittance coincide with the assumptions for the design of the accelerator. Recently, the BSM was mounted and commissioned at a different position (at the exit of the injector HLI) and a dedicated measurement campaign is foreseen to compare new measurements to the reconstruction result.

CONCLUSION & OUTLOOK

A sufficient amount of data has been collected to perform the reconstruction of the longitudinal phase space. The longitudinal bunch shape and its density distribution could be reconstructed with the NNLS algorithm using results of the beam dynamics code DYNAMION. From now on the matching of the beam to the Demonstrator and to the HELIAC can be investigated with a higher level of detail to further improve the performance of the system. It is foreseen to cross check the reconstruction results by comparing the reconstructed and measured longitudinal bunch projection at the exit of the HLI. For the design beam current of 1 mA, additional considerations of space charge must be taken into account for future measurements.
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